

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9

10 ADRIAN DAMICO MOON,) No. CV 15-7126 SVW (FFM)
11 Petitioner,)
12 v.) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY
13 KIM HOLLAND, CEO/WARDEN,) PETITIONER SHOULD NOT BE
14 Respondent.) SANCTIONED FOR VIOLATING
15 _____) COURT ORDER

16 On June 18, 2013, this Court entered an order dismissing petitioner's fourth
17 petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 challenging the
18 same conviction sustained by petitioner in Los Angeles Superior Court case no.
19 BA362256 with prejudice. Because petitioner had persisted in filing additional
20 habeas petitions challenging the same conviction despite the Court's dismissal on
21 the merits with prejudice of the prior petitions, the Court order included the
22 following warning to petitioner:

23 **Petitioner is advised that, if he files or attempts to file any**
24 **further document in this district that reasonably can be**
25 **construed as an attempt to challenge the BA362256 Conviction,**
26 **he will be found to be in civil contempt and will be sanctioned**
27 **appropriately, including, but not limited to, by the entry of a**
28 **prefiling order.**

1 Order: Dismissing Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus with Prejudice; and
2 Denying Certificate of Appealability, filed June 18, 2013.

3 Because the instant petition appears to be an attempt to challenge the same
4 BA362256 conviction (Petition, paragraphs 1 and 5) petitioner is ordered to show
5 cause, if any he has, within 20 days of the date of this order why he should not be
6 held in civil contempt and sanctioned appropriately.

7
8 DATED: October 1, 2015

9
10 /S/ FREDERICK F. MUMM
11 FREDERICK F. MUMM
12 United States Magistrate Judge
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28